

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/696,256	Applicant(s) AZROLAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Raymond J. Henley III	Art Unit 1614	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Now Pending

(1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(3) _____

(2) Kathy A. Kodroff.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 January 2007

Time: PM (EDT)

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
None

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Response to Office action dated July 5, 2006 not filed.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, ~~since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues.~~ A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**SEE Attached Notice of Abandonment.*


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature if appropriate)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
 PTOL-413

RAYMOND HENLEY III
PRIMARY EXAMINER

AU 1614

Examiner Initiated Interview Summary

Paper No. 20070122